App. F. IX-4

1st P
M
1925

Previous references. (Inwards)

File No. 6 / 1925

Serial Nos. 1–8

Later references.
263-25-A-15
9-22 1-41
202-44-(1 2). B. May 25

IX

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 8

Part B for March 1926.

SUBJECT

See Bhuciana Rate matt in the Tirthahalli Taluk.

G.O. No. 614 15
dated 21.11.25

To the Inwg. Comr
" Commissioner.

Sanctions the appointment of Udupa Ramachandra as Guardian of the minor Sowmya & Superintendent of the Trust.

G. R. S. III—1] 1925

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inezrai

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING.

Applicability of the Madras Hindu Religious Endowments Act, 1923, to the Bhavanakatte mutt in the Tirthahalli Taluk.

From

The Inezrai Comr.

No. 1625 Dated 24/7/1925 Received 28/7/25.

Diary No. 126	Enclos	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards copy of Shimoga Dy. Comr's. letter submitting memoranda from the President of the Board of Commissioners for Hindu Religious endowments, Madras, and requests

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Legal Secretary's opinion about the applicability of the Act to the Mutts which have their own practices and the State, notwithstanding the fact that such mutts have come into existence

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III. 2]

(2

Muzrai Secretariat

Muz. File No. 6 of 192 .

Serial No.

1 The Muzrai Comr's letter explains the facts of the case.

2 Bhuvanakante Math in the Dharwar Taluk is now under Govt. management, and the Shirsi Dy. Comr is its trustee.

3 It is seen from memorandum no. 45 dt 27-4-1925 that the President wants details of the income of the Math as per printed form appended (Appendix A) and asks the trustee to remit contribution equal to 1/20 of the average annual income, not later than 3 months from the receipt of the notice; and memorandum no. 105 dt 2-5-1925 asks the trustee to furnish the details specified in the

NOTE—Contn. sheet

(WD 0361—GPB—3750—1 8 22

125

(4)

ral and Revenue Secretariat.

Misc File No. 63 of 1925

Serial No

presumably because the Math has a branch Mutt at Udipi, as the address on the notice itself shows the Board seems to be under the impression that Udipi is the Head Quarters of the Mutt. It may perhaps be sufficient if the position is clearly explained to the Board. If so, after that they insist on claiming etc. there is no use entering on an important question to fight for

[illegible] 28/7/25

Rev Secy
[illegible]

[illegible] 29/7/25

734/30-7

[illegible]

[illegible] Board [illegible] Hindu Religious Endowments [illegible] Religious Endowments Act [illegible] applicable [illegible] head quarters [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 30/7

[illegible] 30/7

9 [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

[illegible] 62/5/8

[illegible] 30/7

Rev Secy
(Rev L L Secy)

30/7/25

[illegible] 31/7

3/8/25

Misc. File No. 6 of 1925

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial No.

(1) The file has not been seen by the Hon. Sir Chairman. Submitted for his perusal.

K
7.8.

1. The question raised in this file is an important one & relates to the jurisdiction of the Board of Commissioners appointed under the Madras Hindu Religious Endowments Act, No. I of 1925 over property belonging to a Mutta the Headquarters of which are situated in Madras Presidency.

The solution of this question depends on the scheme of the Act, which can be gathered from the language used in the Act itself. The intention of the Legislature

Preamble shows that the Act was passed to provide for the better governance & administration of certain religious endowments.

Religious endowments is defined to mean all property belonging to, or given or endowed for the support of, Mutts or temples &c —

'Temple' means a place of religious worship dedicated to Hindu Community.

By Sec 2, the act is made applicable to all Hindu public religious endowments situated in Madras Presidency.

Mutt means an institution for the promotion of the Hindu religion presided over by a person whose duty it is to engage himself in Spiritual service —

(6

Serial No

Under Sec. 36, the trustees (in the case of hereditary trustees) of every religious endowment is bound to administer its affairs & to apply the funds & properties of such endowment in accordance with the terms of the trust

All municipal laws are promulgated to bind only the property within the territory of its sovereign, its subjects.

The property belonging to a mat & situated in Madras Presidency is subjected to the jurisdiction of the Board of Commissioner as the act is made applicable to religious endowments by Sec 2 not to mat & its pontiff who may not be British subject.

The aim & object of the Act Seem 6 be to control the income of the property endowed & not to touch the private character of its owner

(b) In the present case, the property in question is undoubtedly come within the definition of religious endowment & come within the operation of Sec 2. If there is a temple & the branch mutt also. Sec 34 applies Hence it is incorrect to say that the Act has no application to property & in India on the ground that the Head quarters of the mutt to which it belongs, are in foreign State.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

G.R.S. III-2ʃ

WD 2119—GPB—10

General and Re[illegible]
Secretariat.

File No. A 3 of 19 25

Serial No.

Herbert Cowan that Commands stay
of suit is to reduce the assessed quot.
& exemption rates, reduce endowments
to [illegible] Churches & Institutions
whose Head quarters are in Bycon
State or the grounds that they are
[illegible]
Linen proms - O Ch 2 Sec 2, the
Madras Govt has given exemption
to [illegible]
Rev Sec 7
with Compts

23.9.
8 8

REVENUE
-7 AUG. 1925
SECRETARY

NOTE—Conti Sheet

Revenue
iat.

File No. 63 of 19 25

Serial No

16) I should like to discuss this question with Mr Lee & Mr Lee & Secretary

17) I do not see the need for our moving this Matter for above Exempting the Endowments to Trustees belonging to Institutions or Persons from the operation of the Native Act. Why should not these Institutions themselves take the necessary action

Report. Yes

15) Please show this

I am handing over charge on Monday — If it is by, I am very happy with him and

Let it write Confd

Rev Secy with Confd

I am ready now.

Submit the file for the Govt —

Agrs. will please put up a Report for Consideration as Mr Thos. The Trustees will be bound in all properties endowed in the Trustees Pleading even though the beneficiaries may not

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

G.R.S. III-2J

WD 211

Mus File No. 63 of 1925

General and Secretari

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

G. E. S. 111-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 63 of 1925

Serial No.	
	be resident- there Ordinary, the institution concerned must apply to the Board for exemption - & first need undertake to make the motion only in cases where the institutions affected are under their management as in this case. The appointment of a Swami to this math has I think been made & so the matter may be left to take its ordinary course. Please enquire if the Swami has been installed & he will not be taking over charge the affairs soon
	17.8.25
	17.8.25 17.8.25 17.8.25
536/188	Rec-dep/ 18 members, 11 — 17.8.25
	18.8.25

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R S. III-2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 62 of 1925.

Serial No.

25) Draft as revised by the first member is pertys. The file with the draft has now to be sent to the L&L sec? for Standing.

Sd
25/8/25

26) Havanoor wed a Contn from the Bhandarkars Matt that the new Swamy has been installed?

Sd
20-8-25.

27) The Bhandarkars Swamy has written that he has withdrawn the petition against the Samyasa Asramam, & proceedings pending has been subd for appl. Hence not been received yet. It will take some time before he is a major & the matt is likely to remain under Govt management for some time.

Sd
20-8-25

28) In view of this, whether the draft requiring any modn is for Consideration

Sd

(2 members) Thro' L&L Secy

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

* There is a notice issued by an Advocate threatening legal proceedings in connection with this matt. When the Govt Commr takes over management the question of applying for exemption under

Mines File 63 – 25

Considered. Meanwhile the Secretary
has to be appd

24. 8.

24-8-25

699/24.8 (1st member)



25. 8 25

25-8-25.

States that the Madras Act
applies to all properties situated in the
Madras Presidency even though the
beneficiaries are not resident therein
etc and that if the new Shrimankatte
Swami had taken charge of the affairs
of the math his successor will, it may be
left to him to deal with the requisition
[illegible]

G. R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 63 of 192 5

Serial No. 3

From, The Muz. Comr.

Letter no. C. 1425 Dt 10.9.25.

Reports that as the Settlement of the question of management etc. will take some time, and as a reply to the Board's Comm. has to be sent without delay, he will instruct the Dy. Comr. to apply to the Board of Commissioners for exemption from the Operation of the Act and solicits instructions in the matter.

(3)

Submits for notes. The Dy Comr's Dt 15.9.25 may be informed that there is no objn to this Office asking the Dy Comr to apply to the Board of Commrs for exemption of [illegible]

1464/19.9

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

5 to 9.

17.4.25.

I oppose

Dy Comr

Dy Comr to see

Dt. 9.25.

G R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 63 of 192 S.

Serial No.

D/A. Submitted

AL 21.9.1925

Issue 21.9.25

4 To. The Muz Comm. No 3318/23.9.25 states that Govt have no objn to the M.E's proposal and request that the result may be intimated to Govt for info.

Issued 12.10.25.

From, The Muzrai Comr. Letter no. C. 52 Dy 22.10.25.

Submits further report in the matter of the management of Sri Bhimanakatte math and its properties.

37) The Muz. Comr. letter explains the facts of the case fully.

38) The Dy Comr recommended that Vidipi Ramachandra Iyer, Swamy's uncle as Trustee

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561 - GPB- 3750—1 8 22

[App. F. III—2

and Revenue Secretariat File No. 63 of 192 .

Serial No

may be appointed as Guardian during the Swamy's minority making the guardian responsible for matt properties (Vigraha and Saligramas). As regard the management etc of the properties, he reports that the properties may continue under Govt management till the Swamy comes of age unless the Govt permits the Guardian to manage the properties on his furnishing sufficient Cash Security not less than a Lakh of Rupees.

39) The Insp. Comr recommends that having regard to the local factions, and the alleged impecunious condition of the Bhandarakere Swamy who may have influence over the minor Swamy, the matt may continue under Govt management till the Swamy comes of age, arrangements being made for his training and education.

40) The appointment of Hagaregisachar as Parpathegar of the matt and Lakshmi Narayana,

App. F. III—2]

File No. of 192 .

WD 05

General and Secretariat

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

as Agent of the math properties has sanctioned in Sec No. 2001-3 dt 5-1-1923. From the Mag. Conn's letter, it will be seen that it is proposed to appoint Udipi Ramachariya as Math Parpattegar in place of Hayagrivachar, and also as guardian during Swamy's minority.

(Mag. 2. 41-25) 41) In the matter of the appointment of a guardian to the minor Swamy of Sri Tirthamathur matha, the 1st member has ordered that Govt cannot appoint a guardian to a minor Swamy, and that for this purpose, the application should be made to to the Competent Court.

42) During the Swamy's minority it is proposed to continue Lakshmi Narayanachar as Agent of the math.

43 Lakshmi Narayanachar is the father of the boy nominated under the kite said to have been executed by the late Bhimacharlu Swamy. The case was decided against

(1c x)

App. F. III—2]

WD 05

File No. of 192 .

General and Secretariat

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

(Has put for ref. in another Connection).

and his review petitions were all rejected. He has ventured a costly litigation by filing a suit against Smt, and Summons have been rec. from the Dist Court at Shimoga. It is a point for orders whether it is desirable to continue him in the management of the Matt properties.

Submitted for orders

AV
3-11-1928.

(1) Yes

(2) Ramachandra may be appointed Pujapathigara

(3) Yes.

(1) The Swami being a minor, the Matt's endowments both in & outside the Mysore State may continue to be under the management of Govt's Udipi Ramachandra, the Swamis uncle being the Swamis guardian & pujapathigara responsible for the properties of the Matt. It does not seem to be quite safe for the Matt & the young Swamis ~~to appoint him~~ to trust Ramachandra. He may be appointed as agent of the properties outside the State as he has his own son's cause to further as against the present Swamis and he has involved the Matt into a costly litigation on account of the present Swamis

App. F. III—2]

WD 0569

File No. of 192

General and Secretariat

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn ‘see’

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 63 | [App. F. III—2 of 192 5

Serial No

Succession to the Pitha of the Matt.

The Hon'ble Mr. Gour [illegible] the Hon. Govt. been aware of the Suit filed by [illegible] against [illegible] in respect of the succession to the Matt, he would perhaps not have recommended [illegible] grant of the [illegible] sanad. At any rate, although the decree in the said suit has been in favour of the plaintiff, the Commissioner in Council is of opinion that the grant of the sanad should be withheld for the present.

(45) Hon'ble Mr. Gour—That a Sanad has been granted to the Matadhipati to recognise the succession of the Pitha is not in accordance with the decree of the Court. The Matadhipati has been declared to be the Pitha and not the Matadhipati. The Matadhipati has been declared to be the Pitha and not the Matadhipati. The Matadhipati has been declared to be the Pitha and not the Matadhipati.

(46) Mr. Abdur Rahim proposed that Sanad be granted to the Matadhipati.

Hon. Secy.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

App. F. III—2]

WD/0569—GPB—10,000

File No. of 192 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.	

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 63 | [App. F. III of 1925

Serial No

47 This is rather a difficult case. Whether a/ there are conflicting interests. In the circumstances explained by the Chief Court it seems desirable that the Ruler should continue to be entrusted management during the minority of the Prince. So long as there is no legally appointed guardian. If this is accepted satisfactory arrangements should be made for looking after the properties.

The responsibility in regard to the management of the properties entitled the State is particularly heavy as pointed out in the note it is undesirable that Sahukars should be the agents, as the case with the litigation is likely to be considerable.

48) The question of appointing a paid manager for the management of these properties under the Control of the D.C. may be considered.

49) I do not think there is any legal objection to the person of the Chief Court to appointed Khanji Rama Chavda as guardian, & thus make him responsible for the internal management of the Madhya & education of the Prince, under the financial supervision of the D.C. The Chief Court proposes that a Committee of Officials working with the associated with the D.C. in the capacity of in the internal management of the Mandi & the education of the Prince.

Subject to

Note--Contn. Sheet

App. F. III—2]

WD 0569—GPB—4 ,

File No. of 192 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

494
Mangan.

19

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 63

[App. F. II]
of 1925

Serial No

The foregoing remarks, the May Comm's recommendations may perhaps be approved.

Sd. Hamilton

134
5.11

h
5/4/25

10
9.11.25.

50 I agree to proposals (a), (d), (e) of the Hon'ble Member in his letter

I agree also to his proposal to have all the Hindu ~~temples~~ properties in the State centralised under one management.

(c) The Hon'ble Member may take necessary action to give immediate effect to the above proposals.

With regard to the properties outside the State I feel doubtful whether we can make efficient arrangements for the management of these properties, which they would be well advised in taking up the whole responsibility for their management on themselves. On this point a further report may be called for from the Hon'ble Member after a fuller study of the Hindu properties outside the State and ~~the State~~ the probable actual income therefrom

Draft-
h
9.11.

10
9.11.25

App. F. III—2]

WD 0569—G

File No. of 192 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

D. 588 / 20

cneral and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 63

[App. F. III—2

of 192 .

Serial No

5 (4)

D-588- Rct. from certain disciples of the Khimankatti matt, residing in Shimoga & Tirthahally complaining that Lakshmivaragunaghar father of the rival of the present Swamiji of the matt is in charge of the matt properties outside the State, & that as he is not fit to act against the Swamiji interests he may not be continued in the management of the properties &c

(2) This point has been raised in para 4 of the office notes above, and the Member has called for further papers in his minute of 6.11.25. A Regr. Order in accordance with the Member's orders is being prepared. In the meantime the file & Subd. petitions may be circulated for the Rct.

Sd
11/11/25
Member

11.11.25.

I have already app[ointed] the Asst Commr Shimoga to hold an enquiry. The Manager Swamiji under the Parvasamma Deed appears to be partial & [illegible] This Enquiry does not [illegible] the Representations [illegible] in the Rct

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Serial No

Drop order in accordance with my previous minute on the part up to and including Col. Mee

P/1

dc 11/11 a/s. Todr. k 14/11

Q 12.11.25.

5

Respectfully submitted

Q 12/11/25

Q 14.11.25

Rev. Dept. 1st meeting

362/16 11

k 14/11

Q 16.11.25.

Appd

J 16/11

K

Should this go to H.H.?

Q 17.11.25.

It does not deal in rice? It submits that the H.H. as Ruler of a ryotwari state has been taken under the control of the Union without the State Finances being secured

R.G 17-11-25

Q 17.11.25.

(21

[App. F. III—2

al and Revenue Secretariat

Mad. File No. 63 of 1925.

Serial No
6

To

The Mad. Govt.
Comptroller
No 614-15 & 21-st November 1925

Passes orders re the management of the Math during the minority of the present Swamigalu and calls for a further report with a full list of Math properties outside the State and the probable annual income therefrom.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

(22

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

Trial and Revenue Secretariat

File No 63 of 192 5.

Serial No	
7	From, Udipi Ramacharya, Parpatyagar of Bhuvanakatte Matt and father of the minor Swamigalu. Petition dt 19.2.1926. Complains that Agent Lakshmi Narnachar is mismanaging the affairs of the matt and prays that he may be removed from the Vahivat and the matt accounts duly checked and the losses sustained may be made good by him. (i) Lakshminarnachar who continues as the Agent of the matt, is the father of the boy alleged to have been nominated under a will, as the successor of the late Bhuvanakatte Swamy. He has filed a suit against Govt on behalf of his Son which is pending in the Dist Judge's court at Shimoga. (ii) G.O. no. 2001-3 dt 5-1-1923, he was appointed as the Agent of the matt properties outside the State. The Inspr. Court recommended his continuance as such, during the minority of the present Swamigalu, but this recommendation was not accepted by Govt.

NOTE— Contn Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

Serial No

and that officer was requested to submit a further report in the matter. which is awaited (Vide. S.O. dt 21-11-1925. Sl. 6).

(b) Nidipi Ramachar complains against him as follows:—

(i) Contrary to Govt orders Agent Lakshmi Narnachar is entrusted with the collection work of the Matt inam villages at Tirthahalli, and other places in the Mysore State amounting to ~~Rs~~ 15,000 ℔.

(ii) that he takes bribes from the ryots without giving them any receipts, and that as a result of his negligence, most of the Matt lands have come to a deplorable condition,

(iii) that he has failed to render any accounts whatever till now for the Matt income, that he has already complained to the local authorities in the matter,

(iv) that no security has been taken from him and that is highly indebted to an extent of Thousands of Rupees at Tirthahalli.

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

Serial No

(K) that his management of the Matt Lands is far from satisfactory, and that if he continues, the Matt will so to ruin—

(L) The petition is addressed to the members— Members under this may be sent to the M.P. Dpr. Submitted.

AL 9.3.26. In the Petn of the Guardian of the women Agamis Lakshmi Nara. yanaphar are serious and require urgent attention. So long as he continues to be the agent of the Matt in respect of the properties outside the State, they cannot be expected to be looked after for the advantage of the institution & the High Priestship of which he soon had been a claimant & for which a diffi man was appointed. Lakshmi Narayana should be removed at once from his agentship. Unless an urgent order goes to the Dy Com^r in explicit terms to remove him at once, the Matt properties would be—

Completely

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Serial No

A

Completely ruined. Mahji Ramaka the guardian of the swami may be asked to manage all the properties if nec. through a sub-agent.

b Law Ext Pt of the Local Govt is also nec. for allowing Lakshmi-Narasimhaiah to trench upon the properties within the state contrary to the explicit orders of Govt.

Draft subd for appl. & orders

BG 9.3.26 Rev. Secy 9/3

The members orders are dated 23/2. There has already been considerable delay. The petn may be sent to the Govt. urgent report.

And Mahji whether any of the necessary orders in respect of the points referred to at a

9/3 I issue draft as approved by Secy. & resubmit 9/3

8 To The Govt. Comm. Letter no. 1168 dt 10/3/26. Forwards in continuation for urgent report petition from Ramaka

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

[App. F. III.—2

Serial No

Complaining against Agents
Lakshmiarnachar.

1) Re-Submitted for orders as directed
AL
10-3-926.

2) Submitted with Secy to Govt's
order para 69 supra
EHS 11.3.26 Rev. Secy) for orders.

for Secy to Govt of 11/3
after note paras 65 to 66.

1st member 11/3

Scan 11/3
(4) 3 16/3

416/123

NOTE—Contn Sheet

04460

(27) 12

1425/M-25 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 22nd July 1925.



C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.



Dm 125-

The Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Revenue Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter C.C.No.75 of 20-23 dated 9th June 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, submitting memoranda Nos.45 and 105 dated 27th April and 2nd May 1925 -- received from the President of the Board of Commissioners for Hindu ----- Religious Endowments, Madras and to request you to be so good as to consul the Secretary to Government in the Legislative Department about the appl hility of the provisions of Madras Act No.1 of 1925 to the Mathas which have their head-quarters within the State-notwithstanding the fact that -- such mathas have endowments within the Madras Presidency. The matha in question has its head-quarters at Thirthahal and its management is ---- temporarily vested in Government. The endowments within the State are -- more extensive than those in the Madras Presidency. The act itself is -- silent about its applicability to I stitutions which though they possess some properties in the Presidency, have their head-quarters outside the --- same. Sec.2, Clause 1 of the Act says that it extends to the whole of the Madras Presidency except Madras Town. Most of the important Mathas of the Smartha and Madhwa communities have their head-quarters in Mysore and a decision in the case of the Sheemamakhe Matha will have far-reaching results. As a reply to the Presidents communications has to be sent on or before the 31st July 1925, I request to be favoured with orders Government as early as practicable.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

C S Balasundaram

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

(29)

(28)

Letter No.O.C.75/22-23 dated 5th June 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"I have the honor to forward herewith for favour of information and advice memoranda Nos.45 dated the 27th April 25 and 105 dated the 2nd May 1925 from the President of the Board of Commissioners for Hindu Religious Endowments, Madras regarding the information about the Income of the Bhimanakatte Matt.

The favour of early instructions in the matter is solicited."

"C o p y"

for Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

0:264 Enclosures two & 28th August 25.
Muz 63-25-2

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 1425 dated 24-7-1925 forwarding copy of Shimoga Deputy Commissioner's letter submitting Memoranda Nos. 45 and 105 from the President of the Board of Commissioners for Hindu Religious Endowments, Madras, calling for particulars regarding the Bhimanakatte Mutt in the Tirthahalli Taluk, and requesting the opinion of the Secretary, Legislative Department, about the applicability of the Act to the Mutts which have their Head-quarters within the State, I am directed to observe as follows

The Madras Act applies to all Endowment properties situated in the Madras Presidency even though the beneficiaries may not be resident therein. If any of these beneficiaries (Mutts or other institutions) wish to be exempted from the operation of the Act, it is for them to apply to the Board of Commissioners constituted under the Act for such exemption, and the Mysore Government need not move in the matter except in respect of institutions which are under their management. With regard to the Bhimanakatte Mutt under advertance, Govt. having in their order No. 109-11, Muz. 59-22-68 dated 21-7-1925 recognised the nomination of Ved: Bodhacharya to the headship of the Mutt, it may be ascertained and reported if he has been installed and has

taken over charge of the affairs of the Mutt and its properties, in which case, it may be left to him to deal with the requisition received from the Board, the communication received from the Board being passed on to him.

3. The enclosures are returned for reference and re-submission.

I have &c.,

Revenue Secretary.

C.R.C.

12763

(31

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 9th September 1925.

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer. Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Bangalore.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.264/M.F.63-25-2 dated 28th August 1925, I have the honor to state that Ved. Bodhacharya was - installed as Guru of the Bheemanakatte Matha on 9th August 1925 -- under the Titular name of Sri Raghu Tilaka Thirtha Swami and this fact has also been recorded in Government order No.255-57/M.F.47-25-5 dated 26th August 1925. The charge of the affairs of the - matha and its properties still continue to be under Government and the question of restoration is being separately dealt with in a - report received to-day, the Deputy Commissioner is of opinion that "the properties may continue to be under Government management until the Swamigalu comes of age unless the Government permits the ---- guardian to manage the properties on his furnishing sufficient cash security which it is reported to be not less than a lakh of rupees." The matter requires further consideration and a detailed report will be separately submitted.

As the settlement of these questions will take some time and as a reply to the Board's communication has to be sent without delay, I shall, if approved, instruct the Deputy Commissioner in his capacity as sole Trustee for the matha (Vide G.O.No.C.E./Muz. dated 25th June 1923) to apply to the Board of Commissioners for exemption from the operation of the act. I solicit the favour of early instructions in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
C S Balasundaram Iyer
Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *191* .

Section mur
File No. 63-25
Serial No 4

No. 331

Date of issue 23-9-25

Signed Mr L Ramaiya

To,

The Inspr Cent Sch

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter No. C 1425 Dt 10th Sept 25 ~~reporting~~ stating that ~~as~~ the settlement of the question of the management of Sri Bhimanakatte mutt in the Tirthahalli Taluk, will take some time

~~Acknowledging receipt of~~
~~Granting the same~~

over.

(33)

and of directing his decision as to whether in order ~~to apply to the~~ based on Enclosure 2 of 8/5 Com[n] from the President of The Board of Commissioners for Hindu Religious Endowments, through the Secretary to Govt. Madras, the ~~title~~ Mgr instructs The Dy. Com[r] as Sole Trustee for the Matha to apply to The Board of Commissioners for exemption from The Operation of The Act I am directed ~~to reply in the affirmative~~ to state that Govt have no objection to ~~Your applying~~ The Mgr Swamigal. Mr Venkatramana Ayyar may be invited at an Early date for the information of Govt. ~~the Dy. Com[r] to apply to the Board for the necessary exemption.~~

List of enclosures.

I have etc.

(Sd) R. Venkatramana Ayyar
Secretary.

7.12.25

Copied by

Examined by

C 52 gm 25 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Dated Bangalore, 21st October 1925.

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

Referring to para 2 of this office letter C.No.52 of 22-23 dated 26th August 1925, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter C.C.No.75/22-23 dated 6th September 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District submitting proposals for the future management of the Sri Bheemanakatte Matha and its endowments. The report submitted by the Sub Division Officer is exhaustive and -- deals fully with the matters requiring further orders.

2. To summarise, the proposals now made are to the following effect:--

(a) That Udipi Ramacharya, Swamy's uncle in Purvasrama may be - appointed as Guardian during the latters minority and that he should as Parpathegar in place of Hayagrivachar be -- responsible for the internal management of the matha and the education of the Swami;

(b) That the management of the matha endowments both in and outside the State should be under Government until the Swami comes of age

(c) That Lakshminarayana char may continue as agent of outside properties, subject to the orders of disciplinary control of Government officers.

(d) That the existing scale of expenditure be revised so as to meet the present requirements of the Matha.

and (e) That sanction be accorded to certain special items of urgent expenditure, such as repairing the wet lands damaged by floods etc..

The Deputy Commissioner agrees with the above recommendat

3. Having regard to the local factions and the alleged ---- impecunious condition of the Swamigalu of the Bhandarkere matha- who is sure to have some influence over the minor Swami as his spiritual preceptor - I am of opinion that it is best to continue the management under Government till the Swamigalu comes of age, satisfactory arrangements being made for the training and educatior of the Swamigalu. Some local gentlemen of light and leading may be associated with the Deputy Commissioner in helping him in the internal management of the matha and the education of the Swamigalu. If these recommendations are kindly accepted by Government, I shall formulate definite proposals and take the necessary further action in consultation with the Deputy Commissioner.

4. The file of vernacular papers is enclosed for reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

C S Balasundaramayya

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

Letter No.O.C.75/22-23 dated 6th September 1925 from the -- ty Commissioner, Shimoga District to the Muzrai Commissioner in re.

"In continuation of my letter No.O.C.75/22-23 dated 31st August 1925, regarding the succession to the Bhimanakatte Matha etc., I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the Thirthahalli Sub-Division Officer's letter No.H.C.74/22-23 dated 28th August 1925 and to state that I agree with the proposals contained therein. Sanction may -- kindly be accorded to them at an early date.

2. The guardianship of Ved. Ramacharya during the minority of the present Swamigalu may be approved urgently as it is reported that the vigrahas and saligramas may be handed over to the Swamigalu soon -- after the Chaturmasya is over in about a week making the guardian -- responsible therefor.

3. As regards the management of the Matt properties I agree with the opinion given by the Sub-Division Officer. The Matt properties may continue to be under Government management until the Swamigalu comes of age unless the Government permits the guardian to manage the properties on his furnishing sufficient cash security ~~xxxx~~ which it

Rep. Pork
9/11

Some disciples of
Ramanakatte Matha
a resident of Shimoga
Thakalli complain
that Yechanachar
alias Lakminarayana
father of the rival
Sidaka has been
wooing the local
voters to many
Kagle Here

Lay Client of the
Matt and its properties
Why the minority of
a new Swami and
Head of the Matha
can may not be certd.
This is done to act
against the interest of
Lakminarayana Swami.

Advocate
Supreme Court
with the compliments
of
Yours sincerely

MUZRAI
DI. No 588
ಮುಜ್ರಾಯಿ 63-25-5(A)

ಶ್ರೀ ಮಚ್ಛಿಷ್ಯ ಸಮಸ್ತ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದ ಮುದಲನೆಯ ಯಂಬರ್

ಶ್ರೀಯುತ ಕೆ. ಆರ್. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ ಎಂ. ಎ

ಜನಾಬಗೆ

ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗಶಿವಪ್ಪನಾಯಕ್ಕರವರ ಶ್ರೀಮಠವನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿರುವ ಶ್ರೀಮಠದ
ಶಿಷ್ಯರುಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಭಕ್ತರುಗಳು ಸ್ವತಂತ್ರವಾಗಿರುವುದಾದರೂ
ನೂರಾರುವರುಷಗಳಿಂದಲೂ ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯವು ಶಿಷ್ಟಾಚಾರವು
ಪಟ್ಟಣವನ್ನುಯೋಚಿಸಿ ಶ್ರೀಮಠಂಕಾರಿಕೆರಿನ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರು ಯತಿಗಳಾ
ಗಿ ಶ್ರೀಮಠವನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿದ ತರುವಾಯ ಆಶ್ರಮಪೀಠಾರೋಹಣವನ್ನು
ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಮೇ ವೈಶಾಖ ರುವುದಲ್ಲಿ. ಈಗಶ್ರೀಮಠವನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿ ತರುವಾಯ
ಶ್ರಮವಹಿಸಿದ ನ್ವಾಮಿಗಳಾಗಿರುವವರು ಕೇವಲಬಾಹ್ಯವಯ:
ಸ್ಸಿನವರೆಂಬುದು ಮತ್ತು ನನಗೆ ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕಿರಿಯರುದವರಿಂದಲ್ಲ

ಟ್ಟಾಲಿಯ ತರದ ಆಡಳಿತಶಾಸನವನ್ನು ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವಯಜ್ಞ
ಶಾಚಾರ್ಯರ್ಕೆಯನ್ನು ಚುಂದಕೆ ನ್ವಾಮಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಾಯುಬರುವ
ರೆನಕಟ್ಟುವರದಆಡಳಿತಶಾಸನವನ್ನು ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರಬೇಕಾ
ಗಿ ನ್ವಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಶೀಮಾರ್ಗನಯಾಡಿರುವುದಾಗಿತಿಳಿದು
ಬರುತ್ತೆಯಾಯಜ್ಞಂಶಾಚಾರ್ಯರಿಗೂಯಿಗನ್ವಾಮಿಗಳಾಗಿರು
ವವರಿಗೂಯಾವರತದಬಂದುತ್ವವ್ಯಯವುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೂ
ಶ್ರೇಯಸ್ಸದಾಯಜ್ಞಂಶಾಚಾರ್ಯರು ನ್ವಿಶ್ವರಾಗಿತಾನ್ವಾಮಿಗಳಿಗೆ
ವಿವಕ್ಷೆಗಳಾಗಿರುವರೆಂಬವಿಷಯವನ್ನುನೀವರ್ತಿಸಿಗೂ ತಿಳಿದೇಯು
ದಿ ಆದಾಗ್ಯುನ್ವಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಯಾವಕಾರಣದಿಂದರೀಯಜ್ಞಂಶಾ
ಚಾರ್ಯರವಿಕ್ಷೆಯನ್ನುವಷ್ಟಿಸಿ ಮತಕ್ಕೆ ತಿವರತಾಡಳಿತಶಾಸನವನ್ನು
ತಾತ್ಪರ್ಯಗೆಯಿಸಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸಿರುವುದು ತಿಗಂಡಕವೆಂದುಯಿದರಿಂದ
ಮತದನಿಷ್ಠರುಗಳಿಗೂ ಚಿಕ್ಕವರಾದನ್ವಾಮಿಗಳಿಗೂ. ಬಹಿರಕಿರ
ತುಯಿರುವುದೆಂಬುದುನಮ್ಮ ಶ್ರೀಮಠದವಿಚಿಂತಿಸಿನಬಹು
ದಾದವಿಚಾರವಾಗಿದೆ. ಯಾಯಜ್ಞಂಶಾಚಾರ್ಯರುಕನ್ನಮಗನಿಗೆಲಿಶ್ರ
ಯಸ್ಸಿಚ್ಛಿಸಿಕೊಂಡುಪಿಧವಿಧವಾಗಿನಾಧಿಸಿದವರುಯಾಗಕನ್ನ

(43

i Swami – Seuoo a Srimukha
a request that the
al manager
of the Bhimana
Mutt may be
from Sri
Rlr Ramacharya
of the new
- gab ap-
-oo agent

To …

…

11/11

Rao …

ಸಸ್ನೇ

ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ಪರಮಹಂಸ ಪರಿವ್ರಾಜಕಾಚಾರ್ಯವರ್ಯ ಶಿಯ್ಯಕ್ಷಾತ್ಮನ್ಯ
ಚಿರಂದಾಂಶಿಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಭಂಡಾರ ಕೇರಿ ಮಠದ ಶ್ರೀ ವಿದ್ಯಾ-
ಮಾನ್ಯ ತೀರ್ಥ ಶ್ರೀಪಾದಂಗಳವರು.

ನಮ್ಮ ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯೋಪರಿ ಅಚಿತ ನಾಗಲಾದ ಮೈಸೂರು ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಮೊದಲ
ಮೆಂಬರ್, ಶ್ರೀಯುತ, ಕೆ ಆರ್. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸ ಅಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ ಎಂ ಎ ಇವರಿಗೆ ನ
ಮ ಶ್ರೀ ಮನ್ನಾರಾಯಣ ಸ್ಮರಣೆಗಳು.

ಆದಾಗ, ಶ್ರೀ ಉಡುಪಿ ಮಹಾಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ, ನಾವು ಶ್ರೀಗೋಪೀನಾಥ
ಶ್ರೀ ಪಿರಿಯಾಲು ಪ್ರಜಾ ದಪ ವ್ಯಾಖ್ಯಾನಾದಿ ಪರರಾಗಿ, ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಮತ್ತು
ನಿಮ್ಮಗಳ ಶ್ರೀಯೋಗಾಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯನ್ನು ಕೋರುತ್ತಾ ಹರಸಿಗಳು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಭೀಮನ ಕಟ್ಟೆ ಮಠದ ಆಶ್ರಮದ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ದ್ವಂದ್ವ ದಕ್ಕನ್ನು
ಮನ್ನಿಸಿ, ನಾವು ಜುಬಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡಿದ ವಟುವನ್ನು ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜ
ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಆದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ, ಶ್ರೀ ರಘುಕುಲ ಶ್ರೀಪಾದಂಗಳೆಂಬ ನಾಮಧೇಯದಿಂದ
ಹಾಲಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಭೀಮನ ಕಟ್ಟೆ ಮಠಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳಾಗಿರುವುದು ಸರಿಯಷ್ಟೆ! ~~ಈ ಮಠದ~~
ಮುಂದಿನ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಗಳ ಮೇಲ್ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ~~ಈ~~ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಕಾಣಿಸಿರುವ ಸಂಗತಿಗಳನ್ನು, ಶ್ರೀ
ಮನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿಗಳಾದ ತಾವು, ತಮ್ಮ ಅವಗಾಹನೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು
ನ್ಯಾಯ ಪರಿಶೋಧನೆಯಿಂದ ಹುಕುಂ ಕೊಡಿಸ ಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಅಪೇಕ್ಷಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ:—

1. ಹಾಲಿ, ಭೀಮನ ಕಟ್ಟೆ ಮಠದಲ್ಲಿ ಏಜೆಂಟರಾಗಿರುವ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನಾರಾಯ
ಣಾಚಾರ್ಯರು, ತನ್ನ ಮಗನಿಗೆ ಆಶ್ರಮವಾಗಬೇಕೆಂದು, ತಮ್ಮ ಸರ್ಕಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ದುರ್ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯ ನಡಿಸಿ
ನಮಗೆ ಪ್ರತಿಕಕ್ಷಿಗಳಾಗಿರುವುದು ಸರಿಯಷ್ಟೆ! ನಮ್ಮ ಕಡೆ ಜನರನ್ನು ಮುದ್ದಾಂ ಸ್ಥಳಕ್ಕೆ ಕಳುಹಿ
ಸಿ, ಅಲ್ಲಿನ ವಿದ್ಯಮಾನಗಳನ್ನು ವಿಚಾರಿಸಿದ್ದರಲ್ಲಿ, ~~ಈ~~ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನಾರಾಯಣಾಚಾರ್ಯರು, ಮಠದ
ಗ್ರಾಮ ಭೂಮಿ ವಗೈರೆಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮನಸ್ವೀ ಕಾರಬಾರು ನಡಿಸುತ್ತಾ, ಚಿಕ್ಕಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳಿಗೆ
ಚಯೋಗ್ಯತಾದಗಳನ್ನು ಉಟ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿದು ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಇವರನ್ನು ಇನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ
~~ಈ~~ ಮಠದ ಸಂಪರ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರಿಸಿದ್ದೇ ಆದರೆ, ಚಿಕ್ಕ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರಿಗೆ ಅಪಾಯ ಸಂಭವಿಸ
ಬಹುದು, ಮಾತ್ರವಲ್ಲದೆ, ಇತರ ಕಾರಬಾರಿನಲ್ಲಿ, ಇವರು ಮಠವನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಋಣಿತ ಮಾಡು
ವಾಗಿ, ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾರ್ಟಿಗಳನ್ನು ಏರ್ಪಡಿಸಿ ವಿಧ ವಿಧವಾಗಿ ಉಪದ್ರವಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.
ಇದ್ದು ಮುಂತಾದ ಕಾರಣಗಳಿಂದ ಇವರನ್ನು ಭೀಮನ ಕಟ್ಟೆ ಮಠದ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಸಂಬಂಧದಿಂದ ಬಿಡಿಸ
ಬಹುದು ಅತ್ಯವಶ್ಯಕವೆಂದು ನಾವು ಬೇರೆ ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾದುದೇ ಇಲ್ಲ.

2 ~~ಈಗಿನ~~ ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳಲ್ಲಿ, ಚಿಕ್ಕ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳ ಊಟ ಉಪಚಾರ ವಗೈರೆ
ವಿಷಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರರಾಗಿರ ತಕ್ಕವರು ಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳ ಸಮೀಪದಲ್ಲಿರ ತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಅವಶ್ಯಕವೆಂದು
ತಮಗೆ ತಿಳಿದಿರುವ ವಿಚಾರವಾಗಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ, ಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳ ಪೋಷಕತ್ವ ವಗೈರೆಯಿಂದಾದ
ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಇತರ ಸಮೀಪದಲ್ಲಿದ್ದುಕೊಂಡು, ಮಠದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವ್ಯವಹಾರಗಳನ್ನು
ಉಡುಪಿ ರಾಮಾಚಾರ್ಯರು
ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರಲು ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ ಮಾಡಿಸ ಬೇಕು —

3. ಮಠದ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಭೂಮಿಗಳ ಮ್ಯಾನೇಜ್ ಮೆಂಟಿನ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ,
ಅನಾದಿ ಸಿದ್ಧವಾಗಿ ಬಂದ 'ದ್ವಂದ್ವ ಮಠ' ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾನೂನಿನ ಅನುಸರಿಸಿ, ಚಿಕ್ಕ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ
ಗಳ ಮೈನಾರಿಟಿ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ, ಎಲ್ಲವನ್ನೂ ನಾವೇ ನಡಿಸ ಬೇಕಾಗಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಆದರೆ, ನಾವು ಭಂಡಾರಕೇರಿ
ಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದುಕೊಂಡು, ದೇವರ ಪೂಜಾ ಪಾಠಪ್ರವಚನ ಮತ್ತು ನಮ್ಮ ಮಠದ ವ್ಯವಹಾರಗಳನ್ನು ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡು
ಬರುವುದೇ ನಮಗೆ ದುಸ್ತರವಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ, ಇದರ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯನ್ನೂ ನಾವೇ ವಹಿಸು...
ಬಹು ಕಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ತೋರುತ್ತೆ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ, ಭೀಮನ ಕಟ್ಟೆ ಮಠದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಗ್ರಾಮ ...
ಗಳನ್ನು, ಹಾಲಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನಾರಾಯಣಾಚಾರ್ಯರು ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿರುವಂತೆ, ...
ಉಡುಪಿ ರಾಮಾಚಾರ್ಯರು ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಹುಕುಂ ಆಗ ಬೇಕು. ...
ಮಾಡುವ ಪಟ್ಟಿ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮಠದ ಖರ್ಚಾದಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಚಿಕ್ಕ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳ ವಿದ್ಯಾಭ್ಯಾಸ ಮೊದಲಾದ ...

-ನ್ಯಾಯವನ್ನನುಸರಿಸಿ, ಜಮೀನುಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಯವಾಗಿ ಪಾಠಶಾಲೆ ಪರಿಯಂತ ಪಿಳ್ಳೆಯಲ್ಲಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು, ಲಿಖಿತಗಳನ್ನಿಟ್ಟಿ. ಪಕ್ಷಕಾರರ ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿಗಳು ಲೆಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಬಂದಾಗ ಲೆಕ್ಕ ಪಡಿಸಲು ಈ ರಾಮಾಚಾರ್ಯರು ಬದ್ಧರಾಗುತ್ತಾರೆ ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ, ಕಾಲ ಜಾಮೀನು ಅವಶ್ಯವೆಂದು ಅಪೇಕ್ಷಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ, ಕಾಲ ನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿ ನಿರ್ಣಯವಾಗುವ ತನಕ ಪಿಳ್ಳೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾಮೀನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಮುಚ್ಚಳಿಕೆ ಬರದು ಕೊಡಲು ಸಿದ್ಧವಾಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

(೨) ಶ್ರೀ ಚಿಕ್ಕಮನೆಚಟ್ಟಿ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ, (೩) ಶ್ರೀ ಮಧ್ವಮತದ ಪ್ರಾಪಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಪಲ್ಲಿ ಮಧ್ವಾಚಾರ್ಯರವರ ಗುರು ಪರಂಪರಾಗತರುತ್ತಿಯಾಗಿ, ಪರಂಪರ ಸೌರವರಿಂದ ನ್ನು ಕಾಪಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಅಪೇಕ್ಷಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.

~~((೨) ಶ್ರೀ ವಿವಿಧಗ್ರಂಥಗಳನ್ನು, ಅಪರೂಪ ಪಿಳ್ಳೆಗಳಿ, ಈ ಶ್ರೀಮಠವನ್ನು ಕೆಲವು ಸಜ್ಜನ ಮಹಿಮರು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ್ದರವರು-)~~

(೪) ಶ್ರೀ ಉಡುಪಾ ಶಿರಿಯವರ್ಗ, ಬಡುಮಿ
ತಾ 2-11-1915

ಇವನ್ನೆಲ್ಲ ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ವಾಚಾರ್ಯಮೂಲಸಂಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

(೫) ಶಿಬರಣ ಪಣಿಯಮ್ಮ (೬) ಪಟ್ಟಂಗಣ್ಣಿನ ಶಿಷ್ಯಾಚಾರ್ಯರು

(45

Proceedings of the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read.-

× O

G.O. No. 2001-3/Muz.59-22-18 dated the 5th January 1923 approving of certain arrangements made by the Dy. Commr. Shimoga District in regard to the affairs of the Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt, Tirthahalli Taluk and sanctioning a scale of income and expenditure for the Mutt

× C.

2. G.O. No. 1348/Muz.59-22-46 dated the 14th ~~xxxx~~ March 1925 recognizing the claim of the Swamigalu of the Sri Bhandarkere Mutt to nominate a successor to the Bhimanakatte Mutt ~~and ordain him~~, and requesting the Muz. Commr. in Mysore to submit the name of the nominee of the Bhandarkere Mutt to Govt. for approval

3. G.O. No. 109-11/Muz. 59-22-66 dated 21-7-1925 approving of the nomination of Ved: Bodhacharya/a to the Headship of the Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt.

P

4. G.O. No. 255-7/Muz.47-25-5 dated the 28th August 1925 recording the Srimukha dated 11-8-1925 received from the Swamigalu of the Bhandarkere Mutt intimating that he inducted the said Ved. Bodhacharya into Sanyasa Asrama and ordained him as Guru of the Bhimanakatte Mutt on 9-8-1925 under the titular name of Sri Raghu Tilaka Tirtha Swamigalu.

5. Correspondence ending with letter No C.52 of 22-23 dated 22-10-25 from the Muzrai Commr. in Mysore submitting certain proposal for the management of the Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt during the minority of the present Swami.

Order No. 614-15. dated 21-11-1923-
Mus 63-25-6.

The Muz. Commr. submits the following proposals to have effect during the minority of the present Swamigalu of the Mutt:-

(a) That Udipi Ramacharya, ~~his~~ Swamy's uncle in Purvasrama may be appointed as Guardian during the latter's minority and that he should, as Parpathegar in place of Hayagrivachar, be responsible for the internal management of the matha and the education of the Swami;

(b) That the management of the Matha endowments both in and outside the State should be under Govt. until the Swami comes of age;

(c) That Lakshminarayanachar may continue as agent of ~~outside~~ properties outside the State, subject to the ~~orders of~~ disciplinary control of Govt. Officers

(d) That the existing scale of expenditure be revised so as to meet the present requirements of the Matha; and

(e) That sanction be addorded to certain special items of urgent expenditure, such as repairing the wet lands damaged by floods &c.

2. Govt. are pleased to approve of the proposals ~~in items~~ (a), (d) and (e) above, A copy of the revised scale of income and expenditure may be sent to Govt. for information. Govt. are also pleased to approve of the Muz. Commr' recommendation that all the Mutt properties within the State be continued under ~~khm~~ Govt. management. The Muz. Commr. is requested to

take

47

take necessary action to give immediate effect to the above proposals.

3. With regard to the properties outside the State (~~items (b) and (5)~~, Govt. ~~feel doubtful~~ whether ~~they can make~~ efficient arrangements can be made for the management of ~~the~~ such properties ~~and whether they will be well-advised in~~ before undertaking the responsibility for their management ~~on themselves~~. ~~On the point~~ The Muz Commr. is requested to submit a further report on the point with a full list of the Murt properties outside the State and the probable annual income therefrom.

desire to have full information as to

15/11/25
Revenue Secretary.

14/11/25

13/11/25

16/11

To

The Muz. Commr. in Mysore. returning the records on 20/11/25
The Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

VI. G].]

JECT.....

.....

.....

Section Inv.
File No. 63-25
Seria No. 8

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. 1168

To The Inv. Comr.

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 192

Sir,

Date of issue 10/3/26

Signed by MRV

Adverting to G.O. no 2001-J
Inv. 59-22-18 dt 5-1-192
Sanctioning the appointm
of Lakshmiram
as Agent of the propes
of Bhuiyana Kabe mah
outside the State, and
in contn of G.O. no. 614-15j
Inv. 63-25-6 dt 21-11-1925
calling for proposals
for the betterm management
of those properties, I am
directed to forward here

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

A report, etc., in reg. no. 968 from Udipi Ramacharya, complaining that contrary to the ~~late~~ order G. ~~[illegible]~~, 7 Jany. 1923 Lakshman Agent Lakshminarayan is entrusted with the collection dues of the Math inam villages at Jitwahalli and other places in the Mysore State, that no accounts have been furnished by him, and that the as a result of his management, the Math lands have gone to a deplorable condition etc., and to request that the submission of the report already called on the subject may be expedited. In the meantime, the Magistrate is directed to remove Lakshminarayan at once from the agency of the Math properties in the State, Udipi & to entrust the management of all the properties until further orders.

I have etc.
h
9/3

8/9/23 (illegible) Rec'd Feb 9